

From: Sent:

Randy Smith [rsmith@earthshell.com] Saturday, September 17, 2005 6:03 PM

To:

John M. Guynn

Subject:

FW: Re-Revised Wrap plan

Attachments:

Microsoft Excel 2.x



EarthShell JPont Test Plan wr

John, here is a test plan. Note that the Papermatch grades were developed with A. Schulman and us as Eastar Bio resin as a base and talc, caco3 and tio2 fillers.

RAS

----Original Message----

From: Kishan Khemani

Sent: Saturday, June 23, 2001 5:52 PM

To: Jeffrey L McGlaughlin (E-mail); Jennifer M Schneider (E-mail); John Kelly (E-mail); John Nevling; Ken Atwood (E-mail); Randy Smith; Roger Byrd (E-mail); Donna Balinkie

Cc: Kishan Khemani; Lori Bowles; Simon Hodson

Subject: Re-Revised Wrap plan

Based on the learning's gleaned from previous wrap trials and because we feel that we are very close to a final product (even in the monolayer wrap that was printed, and the outcome of the Next Gen run#2), we would like to suggest that we conduct three experiments on July 5th-6th at Chestnut Run. I have modified the plan template to reflect this. Also note specifically the notes 1 and 2 in the test plan. Based upon our observations during the trial we will make adjustments in the formula and repeat the three structures. Please review ASAP and give me your comments. Thank you.

Kishan Khemani

Director, Bio Polymer Materials Research

Tel: 805-897-2233, 805-897-2299

Cell: 805-570-8134; Fax: 805-965-5329

kkhemani@earthshell.com

----Original Message----

From: Jennifer M Schneider [mailto:Jennifer.M.Schneider@usa.dupont.com]

Sent: Friday, June 22, 2001 2:34 PM

To: Donna Balinkie; John Nevling; John L. Kelley; Kishan Khemani; Randy Smith; Kenneth B

Atwood; Jeffrey L McGlaughlin; Roger N Byrd

Subject: Revised Wrap plan

This is the revised plan

(See attached file: EarthShell DuPont Test Plan wraps.xls)

disregard previous sent by mistake

Test Title				Wraps Co	extrusion (Trials	ii ii		Tipes 2
Date Planned	06/22/01 Dates of		of Test	7/5 and 7/6	Locatio	n/Facility	Chest	nut Run Bl	dg 712
Overall Purpose of Test	Produce a film that would be acceptable to take to Carls Jr. 4								
	Determine processing conditions for each structure								
Specific Goals	Film thickness: Target is 1.5 mil nominal								
of Test	If time permits, we will also make samples of thinner film at 0.75 mil nominal thickness								
Type of Equip	nent Needed	1.5		Co	extrusion	cast film li	ine		
	Description			Amount	Source		Resp.	By When	Verified
	Biomax		11	lbs			JMS	2-Jul	J. Kelley
Materials	Papermatch T3818		18	lbs	2,000 Earthshell		R.Smit h	2-Jul	J. Kelley
Needed	Papermatch T5346		46	1,000 Earthshell		R.Smit h	2-Jul	J. Kelley	
	Paperr	38	1,000 Earthshell		R.Smit	2-Jul	J. Kelley		
	Eastar Bio			3,000 lbs	3,000 Earthshell		R.Smit	2-Jul	J. Kelley
	Who		Role i	n Test		Т	est Safety	Informati	on
Test Coverage	J. Kelley	Pro	cess knowledge consultant			Safety glasses and safety shoes must be worn			
1 est Coverage	K. Khemani	1.4	Earthshell Technical						
	R. Byrd		Dupont Technical						
Samples	ncy, nt, etc.	500	500 feet of each film produced						
Required	Frequency amount, labels, etc.								
	Who Schedules Facility	Is it Scheduled	Specific Time Scheduled	Arrive Time	Start Time	Must End Time	Facilities Contact	Facilities	Facilities Phone #
	Sc.	Sci	Scl	,		Σ	12-14-1	E ≺ Chestn	
Facilities Plan	JMS	Yes	Yes	7 am	7 am	5pm	Jim Smith	ut run 712	(302)9 993186
	Description of Equipment		Coextrusion cast line capable of 20 in wide film with 4 extruders						
	Cautions & Vendor Sensitivities								

Test Title	Wraps Coextrusion	Trials				
Date Planned	06/22/01 Dates of	Test 7/5 and 7/6	Location/Facility Chestnut Run Bldg 712			
Overall Purpose of Test	Produce a film that	would be acceptable	to take to Carls Jr.			
	Task	Who By When	Comments			
	Inspection of Materials	J. Kelley 2-Jul	Make sure that if material has been sent to warehouse that it is called back for 10:00 am delivery on July 2			
	Test Preps to Vendor	JMS 26-Jun				
	Test Plan to Vendor	JMS 26-Jun				
	Detailed Description of Preparations Needed at Facility Before Test Begins					
	Must have: 1. Matte chill roll 2. Shear rate vs viscosity	CUPIAS				
	 2. Shear rate vs viscosity curves 3. 5 dryers 4. John Kelley present when dryers loaded on July 3 5. John Kelley and Kishan present at 7 am to supervise blending and loading of dryers 6. Nip roll in place 					
-Test Preparation Plan						
ation						
epar		14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 -				
st Pr						
e-Te						
Pr						

l'est Tifle	Wraps Coextrusion Trials
Date Planned	Dates of Test 7/5 and Location/Facility Chestnut Run Bldg 7
Overall Purpose of Test	Produce a film that would be acceptable to take to Carls Jr.
	Detailed Description of Test Itself:
	(1) 30% A-Layer: 50% Eastar Bio/T-4338 + 30% Biomax 4026 + 20% Eastar Bio 40% B-Layer: 77% Biomax/T-3818 + 23% Eastar Bio 30% C-Layer: 45% Eastar Bio/T-5346 + 25% Biomax 4026 + 30% Eastar Bio
	(2) 50% A-Layer: 50% Eastar Bio/T-4338 + 25% Biomax 4026 + 25% Eastar Bio 50% B-Layer: 77% Biomax/T-3818 + 23% Eastar Bio
	(3) 50% A-Layer: 50% Eastar Bio/T-5346 + 25% Biomax 4026 + 25% Eastar Bio 50% B-Layer: 77% Biomax/T-3818 + 23% Eastar Bio NOTES: 1. If tear strength is very good, increase the %filler by 5% in the B-layers only. 2. If tear strength is poor, increase the %EastarBio by 5% in the A and C layers.
Describe	
Task Order	
outputs, ts to be	Start with #1 ABC Determine processing temperatures (spend no more

nen nen	collect 500 fee	t (10 minutes)		
desir	Test elmendorf tear is	i 713 lab (30 minutes)		
and	Change feedb	lock (1 hour)		
Details of Each Task: Speciffy inputs and desired length of time expected to complete, measurementaken.	Run #2 AB (30 min Determine processing tem than 3	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
eciify ir to comp taken.	collect 500 fee			
Sp.	Test elmendorf	tear in 713 lab		
Fask	Run #3 AB (30 min	autes to transition)		
e e e	Determine processing tem	peratures (spend no more hour)		
of E.	collect/500 fee			
ails (tear in 713 lab		
Del	Repeat runs 1-3, if necessa	ry, as per the above notes 1 1-2.		
Other Test Information				
Statistical Design of Test				
Work Planned vs. Facilities Capability	Total Time to Do All Planned Tasks	Total Time Available on Facility	Is There a 25% Time Safety Factor	Does the Test Plan Need to Be Modified?
	8 hours	20 hours	Yes, We can run overtime if we need to	See Notes 1 and 2